## EXHIBIT A-7

```
1
                                         Volume I
                                         Pages 1 to 102
 2
                                         Exhibits None
 3
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 4
 5
           SUSANNA MIRKIN and BORIS
           MIRKIN, Individually and on
 6
           Behalf of All Others
           Similarly Situated,
                                            : Civil Action
                         Plaintiffs,
                                           : No. 18 Civ. 2949
                                            : (ARR) (RER)
 8
                   VS.
 9
           XOOM ENERGY, LLC; and XOOM
           ENERGY NEW YORK, LLC,
10
                         Defendants.
11
                   VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DERYA ERYILMAZ,
12
          Ph.D., a witness called by the Defendant, taken
          pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
13
          before Alexander K. Loos, Registered Diplomate
          Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
14
          Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of
          Veritext Legal Solutions, 101 Arch Street, Suite
15
          650, Boston, Massachusetts, on Tuesday, November 15,
          2022, commencing at 10:25 a.m.
16
17
          PRESENT:
              Wittels McInturff Palikovic
18
                    (By Steven L. Wittels, Esq.; Steven D.
                   Cohen, Esq. (Via videoconference); and
19
                   Ethan D. Roman, Esq. (Via videoconference))
                   E-mail: Slw@wittelslaw.com
20
                             Sdc@wittelslaw.com
                             Edr@wittelslaw.com
21
                   18 Half Mile Road
22
                   Armonk, NY 10504
                   914.775.8862
23
                   for the Plaintiffs.
2.4
                                                      Page 1
```

- calculated method two. 1
- 2 Q. Understood.
- 3 And when you refer to "total cost" there,
- what you are referring to is the supply cost 4
- 5 reported in the rate-setting workbooks, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 It was labeled as "total cost," but that's
- the -- the supply cost that's presented in the data. 8
- Q. I think we're on the same page. 9
- 10 There's a column in the rate-setting
- 11 workbooks that says "total cost"?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that's what you are referring to --
- 14 A. Exactly.
- 15 Q. -- as "total costs"?
- A. That represents the supply cost of any 16
- 17 given month that's presented in those rate-setting
- workbooks. 18
- 19 Q. Understood.
- 20 Okay. Thank you for explaining to me how
- 21 those models work.
- Can you tell me how you decided to use 22
- 23 model one?
- 24 A. Well, obviously, as I mentioned, this was
- Page 22 1 in discussions with Seab. But we looked at -- so
- 2 this is -- this case is about whether, you know,
- 3 XOOM charges rates according to its contract.
- And when we look at the contract, it says, 4
- you know, it should be based on XOOM's actual and
- estimated supply costs. 6
- 7 So we wanted to check if that's the case.
- 8 So that's why we requested the actual and estimated
- 9 supply costs, and we asked for the rate that XOOM
- 10 charged. And if there was a discrep -- the
- 11 hypothesis that we tested is if there's a
- 12 discrepancy, then there should -- there's
- 13 potentially an overcharge.
- 14 So that's how we decided to show whether
- 15 XOOM actually charged its actual and estimated
- 16 supply costs to its customers.
- 17 Q. Okay. Okay. What about the rebuttal
- 18 report? What was your role in preparing that, if
- 19 any?
- A. I guess I reviewed -- similar situation, 20
- 21 that we have our own discussions and sort of
- 22 identified key points that we would, you know, look
- 23 at.
- 24 And we reviewed Mr. Coleman's report and Page 23

- points about correlation analysis and -- and some
- graphs that he presented that -- that's -- those are
- 3 the things that sort of I've summarized, and Seab
- and I sort of have put that together in our rebuttal
- 5 report. That was more of, like, a per discussion we
- put that together, but -- yeah.
- Q. Okay. I probably asked an imprecise
- 8 question.
- 9 What I'm trying to learn is what your role
- 10 personally was in preparing the rebuttal report as
- opposed to Mr. Adamson's. 11
- 12 A. I guess as an energy economist, I looked at
- 13 what Mr. Coleman's analysis was, and I was sort of
- 14 putting down what I think is not a sort of relevant
- 15 statistical analysis for -- for this case. So
- 16 that's sort of the section that I've put together.
- 17 Obviously with Seab -- Seabron Adamson.
- Q. You drafted parts of the rebuttal report? 18
- 19 A. I -- because it's really difficult to --
- 20 you know, combined report. I guess there were parts
- that I've written and then Seab might have edited. 21
- 22 So I can't really give you a clear, you know, answer
- 23 as to what paragraphs I drafted and which paragraphs

points and putting them together, so I can't really

24 he did draft.

Page 24

- 1 So it was more of identifying the rebuttal
- 3 tell you a specific answer on those are the
- paragraphs I have put together.
- 5 Q. Sure.
- Was your involvement with the rebuttal 6
- report more in the nature of providing thoughts and 7
- commentary to Mr. Adamson, and then he drafted --
- 9 MR. WITTELS: Objection.
- 10 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- 11 Q. -- the rebuttal report?
- MR. WITTELS: Objection. 12
- 13 THE WITNESS: I guess we can describe it
- 14 that way.
- 15 But my -- my input was more on the
- statistical analysis conducted by Mr. Coleman.
- 17 BY MR. MATTHEWS:
- Q. Did you -- you reviewed his statistical 18
- 19 analysis?

21

- A. Yeah. I read his report. 20
  - Q. Did -- did you check his statistical
- 22 calculations?
- 23 A. I did not redo the analysis, but I've read
- 24 his report, and I have seen the correlation results.

Page 25

Q. Do you disagree with any of the correlation Q. It's okay. I'm just trying to understand 1 2 whether you have formed any new opinions, or you 2 results? 3 have any different opinions since you submitted A. I mean, I didn't check his work, per se. I 4 didn't redo the analysis. But conceptually, these reports? correlation analysis was done appropriately. 5 A. No. It's just -- yeah. I mean, the analysis Q. Okay. And since submitting the rebuttal 6 itself seems to be right. 7 report, have any of the opinions that you provided Q. Okay. Have you done any correlation in the initial CRA report, or the rebuttal report, 8 analysis of your own related to this lawsuit? changed? 9 10 A. Not related to this lawsuit, no. 10 A. No. 11 Q. You've done correlation analysis before in Q. Is -- there's nothing in either report, the 11 12 your life? 12 CRA initial report or its rebuttal report, that you 13 A. I did, yes. 13 feel differently about today? 14 14 A. No. O. Yes. 15 A. Not in the context -- I mean not in the 15 Q. Besides Mr. Coleman's rebuttal report, and 16 matter. But outside of this matter, I teach 16 Mr. Adamson's deposition, have you reviewed any correlation at Northeastern. additional documents since preparing your rebuttal O. You're familiar with it? 18 report beyond those that are explicitly referenced 18 19 in those documents? Q. You know how to do it? 20 20 A. Not that I can think of. 21 A. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. Are there any documents that you 22 Q. Okay. If you wanted to check Mr. Coleman's 22 have not yet reviewed that you intend to review? calculations, you would be capable of doing that? 23 23 You just haven't gotten to it yet, or you haven't 24 A. Yes. I would be. But I didn't see the 24 been able to get copies of them. Page 26 Page 28 necessity to do that. 1 A. I cannot think of anything. I reviewed Q. I understand. what was relevant to do the analysis, and that's the 3 I would not. I wouldn't know how to do it. documents that I reviewed. But just that we're on the same page, you Q. In your opinion, the CRA reports, the 4 4 5 understand the concepts? initial report and the rebuttal report, represent A. Yes. the most complete and accurate information and Q. You didn't see any errors in his 7 opinions that you intend to offer in this case? MR. WITTELS: Objection. calculations? 8 A. As it's presented, it seems to be that he 9 You can answer. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean -- yes. 10 presented the correlation coefficients, and that's 10 11 how a standard, you know, statistical analysis would 11 BY MR. MATTHEWS: 12 show. 12 Q. Great. 13 13 But as I mentioned, I didn't redo the You no longer work at CRA, correct? 14 analysis; so I can't validate whether the A. Yes. I am -- although I have a contractor 14 15 percentages presented there are correctly done. position there. So I'm not, like, a full-time 16 But, I mean, I guess I trust his work. employee, but I have a contractual relationship to 17 O. Understood. 17 be able to conduct this work. 18 Since CRA submitted its rebuttal report in 18 Q. Understood. 19 this case, have you personally formed any opinions 19 Are you currently doing any other work for 20 about this case beyond what is stated in the two CRA 20 CRA that's not related to this lawsuit? A. There are some other, like, academic work 21 reports? 21 22 A. Not specifically I can think of. 22 that I'm doing with some other staff, but this is 23 Q. Generally? 23 the only case right now I'm working with 24 A. Not really. 24 Mr. Adamson. Page 27 Page 29

```
1 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)
 2 SUFFOLK, SS.
      I, Alexander K. Loos, RDR and Notary Public in
 4 and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
 5 certify that there came before me on the 15th day of
 6 November, 2022, at 10:25 a.m., the person
 7 hereinbefore named, who was by me duly sworn to
 8 testify to the truth and nothing but the truth of
 9 her knowledge touching and concerning the matters in
10 controversy in this cause; that she was thereupon
11 examined upon her oath, and her examination reduced
12 to typewriting under my direction; and that the
13 deposition is a true record of the testimony given
14 by the witness. I further certify that I am neither
15 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
16 by, any attorney or counsel employed by the parties
17 hereto or financially interested in the action.
                             ve hereunto set my hand
18
19
                             ll this 27th day of
20
21
22
23
   Notary Public
   Commission expires 5/5/28
                                                    Page 102
 1 Steven Wittles
 2 Slw@wittelslaw.com
                        November 28, 2022
 4 RE: Mirkin vs. XOOM Energy
 5 DEPOSITION OF: Derya Eryilmaz 5544030
      The above-referenced witness transcript is
 7 available for read and sign.
      Within the applicable timeframe, 30 days, the witness
 9 should read the testimony to verify its accuracy. If
10 there are any changes, the witness should note those
11 on the attached Errata Sheet.
12
      The witness should sign and notarize the
13 attached Errata pages and return to Veritext at
14 errata-tx@veritext.com.
      According to applicable rules or agreements, if
16 the witness fails to do so within the time allotted,
17 a certified copy of the transcript may be used as if
18 signed.
19
                  Yours,
20
                  Veritext Legal Solutions
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 103
```